

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,324	06/27/2001	Osamu Samuel Nakagawa	10004808-1	3635
75	10/07/2002			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER	
			SCHILLINGER, LAURA M	
			ARTIBUT	DADED ATTACED
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	Λ
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/891,324	NAKAGAWA, OSAMU SAMUEL			
* Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Laura M Schillinger	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 S</u>	September 2002				
	s action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 14-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-4 and 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lou ('680).

In reference to claim 1, Lou teaches a method comprising:

Forming a first electrode in a first metal layer of the multi-level metallization device (Col.1, lines: 25-35 and Fig.6 (30a));

Depositing a substantially thin dielectric material layer over the first metal layer of the multi-level metallization device (MLMD) (Col.6, lines: 5-25 and Fig.6 (36)); and

Art Unit: 2813

Forming a second electrode on the second metal layer, wherein the second metal layer is formed substantially over the substantially thin dielectric layer (Col.6, lines: 25-30 and (Fig.6 (38)).

In reference to claim 2, Lou teaches further comprising:

Patterning the substantially thin dielectric material to substantially cover the first electrode (Fig.6 (36)); and

Adjusting the thickness of the thin dielectric material layer (Col.6, lines: 5-25).

In reference to claim 3, Lou teaches wherein a dielectric constant of the thin dielectric layer is substantially high (Col.1, lines: 45-50 and Col.6, lines: 5-25).

In reference to claim 4, Lou teaches wherein the dielectric layer includes SiN (Col.6, lines: 5-25).

In reference to claim 7, Lou teaches further comprising:

Depositing an ILD layer over the dielectric (col.4, lines: 10-25); and

Etching at least one via adaptive to receive the second metal layer (Col.4, lines: 40-55).

In reference to claim 8, Lou teaches further comprising:

Patterning the metal layer to form a second electrode (Fig.6 (38)); and

Art Unit: 2813

Polishing the second metal layer (Fig.6 (38)).

In reference to claim 9, Lou teaches wherein etching the first electrode in a dielectric layer of the MLMD (Col.5, lines: 25-35).

In reference to claim 10, Lou teaches wherein the first electrode is formed in a parallel line configuration (Fig.5 (30a)).

In reference to claim 11, Lou teaches wherein the second electrode is formed in a parallel line configuration (Fig.6 (38)).

In reference to claim 12, Lou teaches wherein the dielectric is a composite (Col.6, lines: 5-25).

In reference to claim 13, Lou teaches wherein the composite comprises PZT and platinum (Col.6, lines: 5-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2813

1

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lou ('680).

In reference to claim 5, Lou fails to explicitly teach wherein the thickness of the dielectric layer is between 50 to 100 A- however does teach that the dielectric is thin (Col.6, lines; 5-25).

In reference to claim 6, Lou fails to explicitly teach wherein the dielectric constant is between 4 and 100, however does teach that the dielectric constant for BST is high (Col.1, lines: 40-50 and Col.6, lines: 5-25).

These claims are prima facie obvious without showing that the claimed ranges achieve unexpected results relative to the prior art range. In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1935, 1937 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See also In re Huang, 40 USPQ2d 1685, 1688(Fed. Cir. 1996)(claimed ranges of a result effective variable, which do not overlap the prior art ranges, are unpatentable unless they produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely in degree from the results of the prior art). See also In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA) (discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art) and In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955) (selection of optimum ranges within prior art general conditions is obvious).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2813

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M Schillinger whose telephone number is (703) 308-6425. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 -4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1500.

LMS October 1, 2002

> Tuan H. Nguyen Primary Examiner

Twan Al Nopujen